FORM 26. Docketing Statement

Form 26 (p. 1) July 2020

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

DOCKETING STATEMENT

Case Number:	22-1972, 22-1973, 22-1975, 22-1976					
Short Case Caption:	Masimo Corporation v. Apple Inc.					
Filing Party/Entity:	Apple Inc.					
Instructions: Complete each section or check the box if a section is intentionally blank or not applicable. Attach additional pages as needed. Refer to the court's Mediation Guidelines for filing requirements. An amended docketing statement is required for each new appeal or cross-appeal consolidated after first filing.						
Case Origin	Originating Number	Type of Case				
see attached	see attached	see attached				
Relief sought on appeal: None/Not Applicable Affirm the Final Written Decisions holding claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent 10,624,564 unpatentable; claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent 10,702,194 unpatentable; claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent 10,702,195 unpatentable; and claims 1-27 of U.S. Patent 10,709,366 unpatentable. Relief awarded below (if damages, specify): None/Not Applicable None/Not Applicable						
Briefly describe the judgment/order appealed from:						
In its Final Written Decisions, the Board found claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent 10,624,564 unpatentable; claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent 10,702,194 unpatentable; claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent 10,702,195 unpatentable; and claims 1-27 of U.S. Patent 10,709,366 unpatentable.						
Nature of judgment (select on Example 1985) Final Judgment, 28 US Rule 54(b) Interlocutory Order (sp. Other (explain)	SC § 1295	dgment: see attached				

FORM 26. Docketing Statement

Form 26 (p. 2) July 2020

Name and docket number of any rel name of the writing judge if an opin						
None/Not Applicable						
Issues to be raised on appeal: None/Not Applicable						
Whether the Board correctly concluded that the challenged claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent 10,624,564; claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent 10,702,194; claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent 10,702,195; and claims 1-27 of U.S. Patent 10,709,366 were unpatentable.						
Have there been discussions with o	ther part	ies relating t	o settlement	of this case?		
□ Yes ☑ No						
If "yes," when were the last such dis	scussions	?				
 □ Before the case was filed belo □ During the pendency of the case □ Following the judgment/order 	ase below					
If "yes," were the settlement discussions mediated?						
If they were mediated, by whom?						
N/A						
Do you believe that this case may be Explain.	e amenak	ole to mediati	ion? Yes	✓ No		
Settlement will not give appellant Masimo overturning of the Board's decisions to can of U.S. Patent 10,702,194; claims 1-17 of U.709,366.	cel claims	1-30 of U.S. Pa	tent 10,624,564	; claims 1-30		
Provide any other information relev mediation program.	ant to th	e inclusion of	f this case in	the court's		
None						
Date: 7/12/2022 Sig	Signature:	/s/ Lauren A.	——— Degnan			
	ame:	Lauren A. De	gnan			

Attachment for Docketing Statement

Case Numbers: 22-1972, 22-1973, 22-1975, 22-1976 Short Case Caption: Masimo Corporation v. Apple Inc.

Filing Party/Entity: Apple Inc.

Case Origin	Originating Number	Type of Case
USPTO	IPR2020-1713	Inter Partes Review
USPTO	IPR2020-01716	Inter Partes Review
USPTO	IPR2020-01733	Inter Partes Review
USPTO	IPR2020-01737	Inter Partes Review

Nature and date of judgment (con't):

Originating Number	Nature of Judgment	Date of Judgment
IPR2020-01713	Final Judgment, 28 U.S.C. § 1295	May 2, 2022
IPR2020-01716	Final Judgment, 28 U.S.C. § 1295	April 28, 2022
IPR2020-01733	Final Judgment, 28 U.S.C. § 1295	April 28, 2022
IPR2020-01737	Final Judgment, 28 U.S.C. § 1295	May 4, 2022